Ecological Debt Index and its Application to Climate Resilient Urban Food Systems: Some Preliminary Thoughts

SVRK Prabhakar

Presented at the project inception workshop, 10 Sept 2018, Vivanta Begumpet, Hyderabad. Hyderabad, Telangana, India: Center for Sustainable Agriculture.

Objectives

- To enhance sustainable food production and consumption in peri-urban and urban areas
- To reduce the environmental impacts of urban food consumption by promoting 'Connected Ecological Farming' in food producing rural areas
- To build capacities of urban households on sustainable and healthy food choices
- To develop a model and methodology that helps assess the footprint of the food production and consumption leading to reduced ecological footprint
- Share the experiences of the project for long-lasting impacts at the sub-national and national level

Main Activities

- Establish Food-Info-Marts
- Establishing nutrient recycling plants
- Decision support system for Connected Farming
- Education and capacity building: Consist of two sub-activities
- Policy advocacy and awareness generation of broader public



What is Ecological Debt?

- Ecological debt is defined as the debt accrued to individual households for satisfying their lifestyle choices away from environmentally sustainable lifestyles (Prabhakar, 2018).
- Ecological debt index is the difference in the environmental footprint of a household with that of a reference household (Prabhakar, 2018).
- There are two reference households:
 - Future household: that embodies an ideal household that puts the environment ahead of them and strives to achieve a zero ecological dept depending on the access factors at that time.
 - Sustainability now: household is one that follows all accessible environmental practices to keep their environmental footprint minimum.

The Ecological Debt Index

- Carbon footprint: Energy and other forms of carbon emissions from resources used in production, transport, storage and sale.
 - Food miles: Considers the distance travelled by the food from producer to consumer. Shorter the food miles the better it is for the environment. Promoting locally produced food would significantly reduce the food miles.
 - Food waste: Asia accounts significant amount of food waste both in shelves and at consumers end. Reduced food waste means avoided emissions and reduced opportunity cost for those who are affected by such waste.
- Water footprint: water consumed in production and consumption of food. While pumping water is an energy intensive process, use of excess water puts pressure on limited water resources and has resilience implications.
- Agrochemical footprint: Chemical fertilisers, pesticides which consumes huge energy in production and also leave residues polluting soils and water crossing the threshold levels
- Resilience: Measures the resilience gained by both the producers and consumers because of project interventions.



Normalization of Indicator Values for EDI

- The indicator values are to be normalized as indicators differ in units to bring them to a unit-less value
- The methodology used for normalization is linear normalization using thresholds within which values of an indicator falls in the real world

Normalized indicator value

Where

x_i is value of the index

 T_{min} is minimum threshold value of index xi

 $T_{\text{max}} \, \text{is maximum threshold value of index xi}$

 $z_i = \frac{\left|x_i - T_{\min}(x)\right|}{T_{\max}(x) - T_{\min}(x)}$

 $\mathbf{EDI} = \left| \left(\sum_{i}^{CF.} \frac{Index - Mean_{all}(Index)}{Stdev_{all}(Index)} * Weight_{Index} \right) / Max(Score_{all}) \right|$ CF. $\left(\sum_{i}^{WFP} \frac{Index - Mean_{all}(Index)}{Stdev_{all}(Index)} * Weight_{Index}\right) / Max(Score)_{all} \right|_{WFP} * 20 +$ WFP $\sum_{i}^{CFP.} \frac{Index - Mean_{all}(Index)}{Stdev_{all}(Index)} * Weight_{Index} \right) / Max(Score)_{all}$ *10+ CFP. $\sum_{i}^{\text{Res.}} \frac{Index - Mean_{all}(Index)}{Stdev_{all}(Index)} * Weight_{Index} \right) / Max(Score)_{all}$ Res.



The Process

- Develop a questionnaire survey instrument for data collection
 - Baseline survey
 - Regular data collection (monthly) using log-sheets
- Four randomized control groups
 - Control group (no treatment)
 - FIM only
 - FIM+training
 - ▶ FIM+Training+adopted a practice

Aspects to be Looked Into

- Change in household lifestyles
 - All EDI components
 - Any evidence for reduction in food waste?
 - Any evidence for reduction in energy use?
 - ▶ Food: Evidence for participation in low carbon food choices
 - Impact on the other aspects of the life. Examples: water consumption reduction, reduction in GHG from transportation, energy consumption etc. (self-reported, indicative data will be used)
 - Change in the amount of food bought from restaurants and other food outlets. Impact on the nutrition of households
- Is there any change in willingness to pay for environmentally healthy choices?



Thank You!

prabhakar@iges.or.jp